



KECEINED USDOF #10 -1-1 KOOM

11 JOL -3 A 8 13

July 3, 1991

Mr. Frazer Lockhart U. S. Department of Energy Trailer 130A ROY ROMER P. O. Box 928 Jovernor

IOEL KOHN aterum Executive Director

1210 East 11th Avenue

Deaver, Colorado 80220-3716 Phone (303) 320-8333

Felefax Numbers: Main Building/Denver (303) 322-9076

lean Place/Denver (303) 320-1529

est National Rank Building/Deover (303) 355-6559

on recycled paper

and Japanion Office (303) 346-7196

RE: COMMENTS; DRAFT WORKPLAN FOR CONTROL OF RADIONUCLIDE LEVELS IN WATER DISCHARGES FROM THE ROCKY FLATS PLANT, APRIL 5, 1991

Dear Mr. Lockhart,

Golden, Colorado 80402

The Colorado Department of Health, Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division (the Division) has reviewed the subject document submitted by DOE and prime contractor, EG&G. The Division's comments, supported by those of the Rocky Flats Program Unit and Radiation Control Division, are attached.

The referenced document cannot be approved in its present The most serious issues are:

- The workplan must not be a forum for debating the virtue of discharge limits set by the Colorado Water Quality Control Commission It is, however, the proper forum for (CWQCC). demonstrating control procedures, analytical methods, and treatment technologies that will allow discharges in compliance with radionuclide levels established by CWQCC. References to Concentration Guides irrelevant in the context of this document. DOE is aware, the CWQCC statewide and site specific standards for radionuclide levels in ground and surface waters are currently being re-evaluated; a Commission hearing on these standards is scheduled for February, 1992.
- document, as written, may be described as a report rather than as a plan-of-The approved document must adequately define the tasks and sequence of events Rocky Flats Plant will follow in controlling the release of water.

- o Analytical methodologies and equipment must be upgraded to reliably establish radionuclide concentration levels, in compliance with CWQCC stream standards, whether water is released as treated or untreated effluent.
- o The information on treatability studies needs to be expanded. Currently the documentation on the existing treatment system is inadequate. The "minimally effective" efficiency of the current. system, reported in the workplan, must be supported.
- o Concentrations of plutonium are statistically higher for Pond C-2 water and Pond C-2 betas are above standards for Woman Creek. Consequently, it is appropriate that DOE focus more on the Pond C-2 effluent and the treatment technologies applicable to these constituents.

For these and other reasons discussed in the attachments, the Division requires substantial revision of the plan before we can approve the document.

If you have any questions concerning these comments, please call Harlen Ainscough of my staff at 331-4977.

Sincerely,

Joan Sowinski, Chief

San W. Sowith

Hazardous Waste Control Section

Hazardous Materials and Waste Management Division

Attachments (3)

cc: 1

Daniel S. Miller, AGO
Martin Hestmark, EPA
Bonnie Lavelle, EPA
Tom Greengard, EG&G \(
\)
Barbara Barry, RFPU
Judy Bruch, RFPU
Debbie Mauer, RFPU
Jeb Love, RFPU